

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/560,454	<b>Applicant(s)</b> KOHLER ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> John B. Strega	<b>Art Unit</b> 2624	

**All Participants:**

(1) John B. Strega.

(2) Thomas M. Lundin #48,979.

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** \_\_\_\_

**Time:** \_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

7

Prior art documents discussed:


**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*See Continuation Sheet*

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
 BHAVESH M. MEHTA  
 SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER  
 TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner recommended amending claim 7 to overcome the 101 rejection of claiming a computer program and also of removing the dependency of claim 1 since all of the limitations of claim 1 are already present in claim 7. The Applicant agreed to the changes. .